3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF J UDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 5378 OF 2005

PETITIONER

J. S. Walia, S/o Late Shri M. S. Ahluwalia, aged about 50 years, Occupation - Service, presently posted as Engineer, Zonal Office, Chhattisgarh State Warehousing Corporation, 22 Anand Nagar, Raipur (Chhattisgarh), R/o Care Shri Rakesh Trivedi, Near Balaji Mandir, Anand Nagar, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 5446/05 (AP&O) Khan
Presented by
dated 19/10/05

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS

1. Chhattisgarh State Warehousing Corporation, Head Office at 22, Anand Nagar, Raipur (CG) through its Managing Director.

2. Managing Director,Chhattisgarh State Warehousing Corporation, Head Office at 22,Anand Nagar, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

3. P. C. Jha, substantively holding post of Junior Superintendent, presently holding the charge of O/o The Manager (Technical), Chhattisgarh State Warehousing Corporation, Head Office at 22, Anand Nagar, Raipur (CG)

4. G. N. Tiwari, Sub Engineer Chhattisgarh State Warehousing Corporation, Head Office at 22, Anand Nagar, Raipur (CG)

RECEIVED-COPY
19/10/05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS:

The petitioner, above-named, most respectfully submits as under: -

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER:**

As mentioned in the Cause Title above.

XI-HC-22

60

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.NO. 5378/2005 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

25-11-2005

Shri Manindra Shrivastava, senior advocate with Shri A. Das and Shri B.D. Guru learned counsel for the petitioner

None for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner who is working as Asstt. Engineer, Class-II employee has filed this petition challenging the appointment of respondent No. 3 as Enquiry Officer and respondent No. 4 as Enquiry Assistant. According to the petitioner, the petitioner held an enquiry against respondent No. 3 earlier and he is junior to him. The petitioner has filed several representations before the competent authority for change of Enquiry Officer and Officer Assistant. The competent authority i.e. respondent No. 2 has not taken any decision on the representations made by the petitioner. The petitioner seeks for a direction against the respondent No. 2 to decide his representations made earlier expeditiously.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent No. 1 & 2 have no objection. In view of that, the respondent No. 2 is directed to consider and decide his representation dated 20.5.2005 Annexure P-5 within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order in accordance with Law.

Thus, this petition stands disposed of.

No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Priya

[पीछे देखिये